

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/624,480	07/23/2003	Yueh-Hsiang Hsu	BHT-3111-342	7435	
7590 12/22/2005			EXAM	INER	
BRUCE H. TROXELL			RIELLEY, ELIZABETH A		
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22041	2879			
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Λ.				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/624	,480	HSU ET AL.					
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
			h A. Rielley	2879					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHI0 - External after af	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum staure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 14 October 20	005.						
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
9)□ 10)⊠	ion Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ accept ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C					
		., <u>_</u>			102.				
 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)		Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or l or No(s)/Mail Date	•		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTG 	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment filed 10/14/05 has been entered and considered by the Examiner. Claims 2 and 8 have been canceled. Currently, claims 1, 3-7, and 9-12 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wachi et al (US 20030085649) in view of Okamura et al (US 6104530).

In regard to claims 1 and 7, Wachi et al ('649) teach a display panel structure (figure 2), comprising: a plasma display panel (not in figures, see paragraph 2), further comprising: a front glass (see paragraph 2), through which the plasma display panel display images to the outside; a rear glass (not shown; paragraph 2), which is corresponding to the front glass, and there is a plasma spaced between the rear glass and front glass (2; paragraphs 2 and 63); and a filter (15; paragraph 61), which is directly formed upon the front glass (figure 2). Wachi et al are silent regarding the filter does not include a glass layer, since 14 has been established as a substrate for the filter, which is glass. Okamura et al ('530) teach

Art Unit: 2879

a PDP for a television (column 1 lines 19-40) and substrate for a filter (11; figure 3; column 27 line 66 to column 28 line 13) that is not made from glass (column 28 lines 1-2) in order to increase the transparency of the filter (column 4 lines 8-12; column 5 lines 1-6). Hence it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the display of Wachi with the filter substrate of

Okamura. Motivation to combine would be to improve the transparency of the filter.

In regard to claims 3-6 and 9-12, Wachi et al ('649) teach the filter, facing the front glass, sequentially (figure 2) has electromagnetic wave shielding layer (15; paragraph 61), a color-compensating layer (9: paragraph 89), hardening arrangement layer (not shown; paragraphs 86-87) and an anti-reflecting layer (6; paragraph 84).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Rielley whose telephone number is 571-272-2117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-2117.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gazabeth Rielley
Elizabeth Rielley

Examiner Art Unit 2879 MARICELI SANTIAGO PRIMARY FXAMINED Page 4